## THIS OPINION WAS NOT WRITTEN FOR PUBLICATION

The opinion in support of the decision being entered today

- (1) was not written for publication in a law journal and
- (2) is not binding precedent of the Board.

Paper No. 64

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

\_\_\_\_\_

STEPHEN J. DAVIS, ALAN K. UKE and LAWRENCE P. MONTY

Junior Party, 1

v.

ALAN K. UKE, LAWRENCE P. MONTY and STEPHEN J. DAVIS

Senior Party.<sup>2</sup>

Patent Interference No. 102,751

\_\_\_\_\_

Before METZ, PATE and MARTIN, Administrative Patent Judges.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Application 07/373,331, filed June 29, 1989. Assignor to Prince Sports Group, Inc.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Patent 4,953,862, granted September 4, 1990, based on Application 07/339,689, filed April 18, 1989. Assignor to Prince Sports Group, Inc.

PATE, Administrative Patent Judge.

## Background

By order of the District Court for the District of
New Jersey, the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks has
been directed to change the inventorship of the Senior party
Uke et al. patent. The inventorship has been changed, and the
record also reflects that the assignee of the junior party
application and the senior party patent is the same.
Furthermore, the request to correct the inventorship of the
junior party involved application has been granted.

The assignee, Prince Sports Group, Inc., has terminally disclaimed that part of any patent term of the junior party application that would extend beyond the expiration date of the senior party involved patent.

Accordingly, the following judgment is entered.

## Judgment

It is hereby adjudged on the present record that the senior party patentees, Alan K. Uke, Lawrence P. Monty, and

Interference No. 102,751

Stephen J. Davis, are entitled to their patent claims 1 and 7-9, which claims correspond to the count in the interference, and the junior party applicants, Stephen J. Davis, Alan K. Uke, and

Lawrence P. Monty, are entitled to their claims 1-7, 8/1-4, 8/5, 8/6, 8/7, and 13-18, which claims correspond to the count in the interference.

	ANDREW H. METZ Administrative Patent Judge	) ; ) )	
		)	BOARD OF
PATENT INTERFERENCES	WILLIAM F. PATE, III Administrative Patent Judge	)	APPEALS AND
INTERFERENCES		)	
	JOHN C. MARTIN Administrative Patent Judge	) )	

Interference No. 102,751

WFP:psb

Interference No. 102,751

Counsel for Junior Party Davis et al.

Robert B. Smith et al. White & Case 1155 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036

Counsel for Senior Party Uke et al.

Carl R. Brown et al. Brown, Martin, Haller & McClain 1660 Union Street San Diego, CA 92101